

Largest Changes in Construction Employment by Metropolitan Area or Division, June 2025–June 2026

(not seasonally adjusted)

Total 360 Areas: 165 (46%) with gains, 131 (36%) with losses, 64 unchanged. US total construction employment change: +0.8% (seasonally adjusted).

Most Jobs Added

<u>Area¹</u>	<u>Industry²</u>	<u>June '25</u>	<u>June '26</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gains/loss</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>% change</u>	<u>Rank³ based on:</u>	
						<u>gain/loss</u>	<u>% change</u>
1 Houston-Pasadena-The Woodlands, TX	Construction	254,500	269,500	15,000	6%	1	33
2 St. Louis, MO-IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	80,600	93,200	12,600	16%	2	2
3 Baton Rouge, LA	Construction	47,200	57,400	10,200	22%	3	1
4 Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	100,500	108,700	8,200	8%	4	14
5 Charlotte-Concord-Gastonia, NC-SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	84,200	91,800	7,600	9%	5	11
6 Columbus, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	62,300	68,900	6,600	11%	6	6
7 Austin-Round Rock-San Marcos, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	93,500	99,700	6,200	7%	7	22
8 Chicago-Naperville-Schaumburg, IL Div.	Construction	140,400	144,800	4,400	3%	8	88
9 San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara, CA	Construction	52,400	56,600	4,200	8%	9	14
10 Fort Worth-Arlington-Grapevine, TX Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	88,100	92,200	4,100	5%	10	48

Most Jobs Lost

<u>Area¹</u>	<u>Industry²</u>	<u>June '25</u>	<u>June '26</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>% change</u>	<u>Rank³ based on:</u>	
						<u>gain/loss</u>	<u>% change</u>
1 Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, CA	Construction	113,300	108,000	-5,300	-5%	360	332
2 Portland-Vancouver-Hillsboro, OR-WA	Construction	79,400	74,300	-5,100	-6%	359	349
3 Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell, GA Div.	Construction	109,600	105,300	-4,300	-4%	358	321
4 Oakland-Fremont-Berkeley, CA Div.	Construction	72,500	68,400	-4,100	-6%	357	349
5 Pittsburgh, PA	Construction	61,300	57,500	-3,800	-6%	356	349
6 Sacramento--Roseville--Folsom, CA	Construction	77,300	73,700	-3,600	-5%	355	332
7 Lakewood-New Brunswick, NJ Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	55,200	52,600	-2,600	-5%	354	332
8 San Diego-Chula Vista-Carlsbad, CA	Construction	89,800	87,300	-2,500	-3%	353	294
9 Providence-Warwick, RI-MA	Construction	36,200	34,300	-1,900	-5%	352	332
10 Anaheim-Santa Ana-Irvine, CA Div.	Construction	105,800	104,200	-1,600	-2%	351	265

Largest Percentage Gains

<u>Area¹</u>	<u>Industry²</u>	<u>June '25</u>	<u>June '26</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>% change</u>	<u>Rank³ based on:</u>	
						<u>gain/loss</u>	<u>% change</u>
1 Baton Rouge, LA	Construction	47,200	57,400	10,200	22%	3	1
2 St. Louis, MO-IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	80,600	93,200	12,600	16%	2	2
3 Mobile, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	14,000	16,000	2,000	14%	21	3
3 Davenport-Moline-Rock Island, IA-IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	11,000	12,500	1,500	14%	26	3
5 Amherst Town-Northampton, MA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,600	2,900	300	12%	77	5
6 Columbus, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	62,300	68,900	6,600	11%	6	6
6 Weirton-Steubenville, WV-OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,900	2,100	200	11%	99	6
8 Idaho Falls, ID	Mining, Logging, and Construction	7,000	7,700	700	10%	46	8
8 Bloomington, IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,100	3,400	300	10%	77	8
8 Ithaca, NY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,000	1,100	100	10%	129	8

Largest Percentage Losses

<u>Area¹</u>	<u>Industry²</u>	<u>June '25</u>	<u>June '26</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>% change</u>	<u>Rank³ based on:</u>	
						<u>gain/loss</u>	<u>% change</u>
1 Lawton, OK	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,700	1,500	-200	-12%	267	360
2 Niles, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,300	2,100	-200	-9%	267	359
3 Fairbanks-College, AK	Construction	2,900	2,700	-200	-7%	267	355
3 Medford, OR	Construction	5,400	5,000	-400	-7%	312	355
3 Longview, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	15,300	14,300	-1,000	-7%	343	355
3 Longview-Kelso, WA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,100	3,800	-300	-7%	297	355
7 Lake Havasu City-Kingman, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	5,100	4,800	-300	-6%	297	349
7 Oakland-Fremont-Berkeley, CA Div.	Construction	72,500	68,400	-4,100	-6%	357	349
7 Savannah, GA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	9,900	9,300	-600	-6%	329	349
7 Portland-Vancouver-Hillsboro, OR-WA	Construction	79,400	74,300	-5,100	-6%	359	349
7 Gettysburg, PA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,800	1,700	-100	-6%	230	349
7 Pittsburgh, PA	Construction	61,300	57,500	-3,800	-6%	356	349

Footnotes:

¹**Area:** Metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) are designated by the Office of Management and Budget. MSAs generally cover one or more entire counties or county equivalents. Employment in these MSAs is presented in two or more divisions (Div). MSA totals are shown but not included in rankings to avoid double counting of the divisions.

²**Industry:** The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) only reports construction employment combined with mining and logging in most metros, because of small sample sizes or to preserve confidentiality for industries with few employers. AGC attributes all employment in these metros to construction. Metro data is not seasonally adjusted.

³**Rank:** BLS currently provides construction (or combined construction, mining and logging) employment data, rounded to the nearest 100, for 360 metro areas. Thus, it is not possible to calculate percent changes more accurately than to the nearest whole percent and all metro areas with the same whole-percent change in employment are ranked the same. (Exception: Employment changes of less than 0.5% are shown and ranked based on the nearest 0.1%.)

⁴**New York City:** BLS posts mining, logging, and construction data for the New York-Jersey City-White Plains metro division and for the New York City "special area," which is part of the metro division. To avoid double counting, these tables treat New York City and Jersey City-White Plains (excluding NYC) as separate areas.