

Largest Changes in Construction Employment by Metropolitan Area or Division, April 2025–April 2026
(not seasonally adjusted)

Total 360 Areas: 192 (53%) with gains, 117 (33%) with losses, 51 unchanged. US total construction employment change: +0.6% (seasonally adjusted).

Most Jobs Added

<u>Area</u> ¹	<u>Industry</u> ²	<u>April '25</u>	<u>April '26</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>% change</u>	<u>Rank</u> ³ <u>based on:</u>	
						<u>gain/loss</u>	<u>% change</u>
1 Houston-Pasadena-The Woodlands, TX	Construction	253,900	262,800	8,900	4%	1	69
2 St. Louis, MO-IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	78,200	85,500	7,300	9%	2	12
3 Baton Rouge, LA	Construction	49,000	55,500	6,500	13%	3	2
4 Charlotte-Concord-Gastonia, NC-SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	82,800	88,700	5,900	7%	4	26
5 Columbus, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	59,200	64,900	5,700	10%	5	8
6 Austin-Round Rock-San Marcos, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	91,900	96,500	4,600	5%	6	49
7 Baltimore-Columbia-Towson, MD	Mining, Logging, and Construction	81,300	85,700	4,400	5%	7	49
8 San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara, CA	Construction	51,300	55,100	3,800	7%	8	26
9 Raleigh-Cary, NC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	53,500	56,500	3,000	6%	9	37
10 Indianapolis-Carmel-Greenwood, IN	Construction	67,700	70,600	2,900	4%	10	69

Most Jobs Lost

<u>Area</u> ¹	<u>Industry</u> ²	<u>April '25</u>	<u>April '26</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>% change</u>	<u>Rank</u> ³ <u>based on:</u>	
						<u>gain/loss</u>	<u>% change</u>
1 Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale, CA Div.	Construction	148,000	143,000	-5,000	-3%	360	273
2 Sacramento-Roseville-Folsom, CA	Construction	75,400	70,700	-4,700	-6%	359	342
3 Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, CA	Construction	112,400	107,900	-4,500	-4%	358	305
4 Portland-Vancouver-Hillsboro, OR-WA	Construction	77,900	73,800	-4,100	-5%	357	330
5 Anaheim-Santa Ana-Irvine, CA Div.	Construction	105,100	101,600	-3,500	-3%	356	273
6 San Diego-Chula Vista-Carlsbad, CA	Construction	89,500	86,200	-3,300	-4%	355	305
7 Oakland-Fremont-Berkeley, CA Div.	Construction	71,000	68,400	-2,600	-4%	353	305
7 Pittsburgh, PA	Construction	57,900	55,300	-2,600	-4%	353	305
9 Phoenix-Mesa-Chandler, AZ	Construction	180,800	178,300	-2,500	-1%	352	216
10 Lakewood-New Brunswick, NJ Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	53,400	51,100	-2,300	-4%	350	305
10 Nassau County-Suffolk County, NY Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	81,000	78,700	-2,300	-3%	350	273

Largest Percentage Gains

<u>Area</u> ¹	<u>Industry</u> ²	<u>April '25</u>	<u>April '26</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>% change</u>	<u>Rank</u> ³ <u>based on:</u>	
						<u>gain/loss</u>	<u>% change</u>
1 Davenport-Moline-Rock Island, IA-IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	10,200	11,900	1,700	17%	18	1
2 Kankakee, IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,600	1,800	200	13%	90	2
2 Baton Rouge, LA	Construction	49,000	55,500	6,500	13%	3	2
2 Eau Claire, WI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,000	4,500	500	13%	51	2
5 Bloomington, IN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,300	3,700	400	12%	60	5
5 Las Cruces, NM	Mining, Logging, and Construction	5,100	5,700	600	12%	43	5
7 Weirton-Steubenville, WV-OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,800	2,000	200	11%	90	7
8 Michigan City-La Porte, IN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,100	2,300	200	10%	90	8
8 Ithaca, NY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,000	1,100	100	10%	122	8
8 Columbus, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	59,200	64,900	5,700	10%	5	8
8 Wausau, WI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,000	3,300	300	10%	75	8

Largest Percentage Losses

<u>Area</u> ¹	<u>Industry</u> ²	<u>April '25</u>	<u>April '26</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>% change</u>	<u>Rank</u> ³ <u>based on:</u>	
						<u>gain/loss</u>	<u>% change</u>
1 Lawton, OK	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,900	1,400	-500	-26%	310	360
2 Fairbanks-College, AK	Construction	2,800	2,300	-500	-18%	310	359
3 Monroe, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,200	2,000	-200	-9%	254	358
4 Anchorage, AK	Construction	11,800	10,900	-900	-8%	337	351
4 Lake Havasu City-Kingman, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	5,100	4,700	-400	-8%	299	351
4 Grants Pass, OR	Construction	1,200	1,100	-100	-8%	212	351
4 Longview, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	15,500	14,300	-1,200	-8%	342	351
4 Bellingham, WA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	9,000	8,300	-700	-8%	331	351
4 Longview-Kelso, WA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,000	3,700	-300	-8%	285	351
4 Mount Vernon-Anacortes, WA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,900	4,500	-400	-8%	299	351

Footnotes:

¹**Area:** Metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) are designated by the Office of Management and Budget. MSAs generally cover one or more entire counties or county equivalents. Employment in these MSAs is presented in two or more divisions (Div). MSA totals are shown but not included in rankings to avoid double counting of the divisions.

²**Industry:** The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) only reports construction employment combined with mining and logging in most metros, because of small sample sizes or to preserve confidentiality for industries with few employers. AGC attributes all employment in these metros to construction. Metro data is not seasonally adjusted.

³**Rank:** BLS currently provides construction (or combined construction, mining and logging) employment data, rounded to the nearest 100, for 360 metro areas. Thus, it is not possible to calculate percent changes more accurately than to the nearest whole percent and all metro areas with the same whole-percent change in employment are ranked the same. (Exception: Employment changes of less than 0.5% are shown and ranked based on the nearest 0.1%.)

⁴**New York City:** BLS posts mining, logging, and construction data for the New York-Jersey City-White Plains metro division and for the New York City "special area," which is part of the metro division. To avoid double counting, these tables treat New York City and Jersey City-White Plains (excluding NYC) as separate areas.