

**Largest Changes in Construction Employment by Metropolitan Area or Division, October 2021–October 2022**

(not seasonally adjusted)

Total 358 Areas: 268 (75%) with gains, 47 (13%) with losses, 43 unchanged. US total 3.6% (seasonally adjusted).

**Most Jobs Added**

<u>Area<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Industry<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>October '21</u>	<u>October '22</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>Rank<sup>3</sup> based on: gain/loss % change</u>
1 Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land, TX	Construction	213,900	239,900	26,000	12%	1 7
2 Dallas-Plano-Irving, TX Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	144,700	159,000	14,300	10%	2 24
3 Seattle-Bellevue-Everett, WA Div.	Construction	105,000	116,000	11,000	10%	3 24
4 Anaheim-Santa Ana-Irvine, CA Div.	Construction	102,900	111,600	8,700	8%	4 54
5 Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale, AZ	Construction	139,400	148,000	8,600	6%	5 87
5 Denver-Aurora-Lakewood, CO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	109,600	118,200	8,600	8%	5 54
7 Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale, CA Div.	Construction	149,500	157,300	7,800	5%	7 115
8 Portland-Vancouver-Hillsboro, OR-WA	Construction	78,000	85,400	7,400	9%	8 40
9 Chicago-Naperville-Arlington Heights, IL Div.	Construction	136,400	143,600	7,200	5%	9 115
9 Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson, IN	Construction	58,300	65,500	7,200	12%	9 7

**Most Jobs Lost**

<u>Area<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Industry<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>October '21</u>	<u>October '22</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>Rank<sup>3</sup> based on: gain/loss % change</u>
1 Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford, FL	Construction	83,900	77,100	-6,800	-8%	358 357
2 Baton Rouge, LA	Construction	44,400	39,000	-5,000	-11%	357 358
3 Richmond, VA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	41,200	38,400	-2,800	-7%	355 355
3 San Antonio-New Braunfels, TX	Construction	59,000	56,200	-2,800	-5%	355 347
5 Bergen-Hudson-Passaic, NJ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	31,800	29,600	-2,200	-7%	353 355
5 New York City, NY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	144,300	142,100	-2,200	-2%	353 324
7 Camden, NJ Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	24,700	23,200	-1,500	-6%	352 350
8 Austin-Round Rock, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	74,700	73,300	-1,400	-2%	351 324
9 Montgomery County-Bucks County-Chester County, PA Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	57,400	56,400	-1,000	-2%	350 324
10 Detroit-Dearborn-Livonia, MI Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	24,500	23,600	-900	-4%	347 342
10 Jacksonville, FL	Construction	50,300	49,400	-900	-2%	347 324
10 Pittsburgh, PA	Construction	62,100	61,200	-900	-1%	347 316

**Largest Percentage Gains**

<u>Area<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Industry<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>October '21</u>	<u>October '22</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>Rank<sup>3</sup> based on: gain/loss % change</u>
1 Provo-Orem, UT	Mining, Logging, and Construction	28,400	34,500	6,100	21%	13 1
2 Danville, IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	600	700	100	17%	209 2
3 Kansas City, MO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	31,700	36,700	5,000	16%	17 3
4 Beaumont-Port Arthur, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	16,200	18,700	2,500	15%	36 4
5 Waco, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,000	9,000	1,000	13%	65 5
5 Ogden-Clearfield, UT	Mining, Logging, and Construction	21,500	24,400	2,900	13%	29 5
7 Anchorage, AK	Construction	10,900	12,200	1,300	12%	54 7
7 Yuma, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,400	3,800	400	12%	107 7
7 Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson, IN	Construction	58,300	65,500	7,200	12%	9 7
7 Tulsa, OK	Construction	24,200	27,100	2,900	12%	29 7
7 Jackson, TN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,400	3,800	400	12%	107 7
7 Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land, TX	Construction	213,900	239,900	26,000	12%	1 7
7 St. George, UT	Mining, Logging, and Construction	9,900	11,100	1,200	12%	56 7

**Largest Percentage Losses**

<u>Area<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Industry<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>October '21</u>	<u>October '22</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>Rank<sup>3</sup> based on: gain/loss % change</u>
1 Baton Rouge, LA	Construction	44,000	39,000	-5,000	-11%	357 358
2 Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford, FL	Construction	83,900	77,100	-6,800	-8%	358 357
3 Bergen-Hudson-Passaic, NJ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	31,800	29,600	-2,200	-7%	353 355
3 Richmond, VA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	41,200	38,400	-2,800	-7%	355 355
5 Houma-Thibodaux, LA	Construction	5,000	4,700	-300	-6%	331 350
5 Lafayette, LA	Construction	10,800	10,200	-600	-6%	343 350
5 Bay City, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,600	1,500	-100	-6%	312 350
5 Camden, NJ Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	24,700	23,200	-1,500	-6%	352 350
5 Vineland-Bridgeton, NJ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,200	3,000	-200	-6%	326 350
10 Dover-Durham, NH-ME NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,000	1,900	-100	-5%	312 347
10 San Antonio-New Braunfels, TX	Construction	59,000	56,200	-2,800	-5%	355 347
10 Charleston, WV	Mining, Logging, and Construction	6,500	6,200	-300	-5%	331 347

**Footnotes:**

<sup>1</sup>**Area:** Metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) are designated by the Office of Management and Budget. MSAs generally cover one or more entire counties or county equivalents, or New England City and Town Area (NECTA) in the six New England states. There are 11 MSAs with more than 2,500,000 people. Employment in these MSAs is presented in two or more divisions (Div.) or subdivisions rather than for the MSA as a whole. See Metro Divisions tab for employment in those metros and each of their divisions.

<sup>2</sup>**Industry:** The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) only reports construction employment combined with mining and logging in most metros, because of small sample sizes or to preserve confidentiality for industries with few employers. Metro data is not seasonally adjusted.

<sup>3</sup>**Rank:** BLS currently provides construction (or combined construction, mining and logging) employment data for 358 metro areas, rounded to the nearest 100. Thus, it is not possible to calculate percent changes more accurately than to the nearest whole percent and all metro areas with the same whole-percent change in employment are ranked the same. (Exception: Employment changes of less than 0.5% are shown and ranked based on the nearest 0.1%).