

Largest Changes in Construction Employment by Metropolitan Area or Division, May 2021-May 2022
 (not seasonally adjusted)

Total 358 Areas: 248 (69%) with gains, 62 (17%) with losses, 48 unchanged. US total 3.8% (seasonally adjusted).

Most Jobs Added

<u>Area¹</u>	<u>Industry²</u>	<u>May '21</u>	<u>May '22</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>Rank³ based on: gain/loss % change</u>
1 Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land, TX	Construction	207,900	231,700	23,800	11%	1 17
2 Dallas-Plano-Irving, TX Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	145,600	157,400	11,800	8%	2 41
3 St. Louis, MO-IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	69,700	75,200	5,500	8%	3 41
4 Chicago-Naperville-Arlington Heights, IL Div.	Construction	131,400	136,300	4,900	4%	4 121
5 Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin, TN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	51,600	56,100	4,500	9%	5 31
5 Boston-Cambridge-Newton, MA NECTA Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	74,100	78,600	4,500	6%	5 70
7 Provo-Orem, UT	Mining, Logging, and Construction	27,500	31,900	4,400	16%	7 6
8 Denver-Aurora-Lakewood, CO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	108,800	113,000	4,200	4%	8 121
9 Salt Lake City, UT	Mining, Logging, and Construction	52,900	57,000	4,100	8%	9 41
10 Seattle-Bellevue-Everett, WA Div.	Construction	103,600	107,600	4,000	4%	10 121
10 Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale, CA Div.	Construction	150,600	154,600	4,000	3%	10 164

Most Jobs Lost

<u>Area¹</u>	<u>Industry²</u>	<u>May '21</u>	<u>May '22</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>Rank³ based on: gain/loss % change</u>
1 Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford, FL	Construction	82,600	77,000	-5,600	-7%	358 351
2 Richmond, VA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	41,800	39,800	-2,000	-5%	357 345
3 Middlesex-Monmouth-Ocean, NJ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	41,400	39,800	-1,600	-4%	355 338
3 Pittsburgh, PA	Construction	62,400	60,800	-1,600	-3%	355 327
5 Bergen-Hudson-Passaic, NJ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	29,700	28,400	-1,300	-4%	353 338
5 San Antonio-New Braunfels, TX	Construction	57,700	56,400	-1,300	-2%	353 314
7 Calvert-Charles-Prince George's, MD	Mining, Logging, and Construction	33,500	32,300	-1,200	-4%	352 338
8 New York City, NY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	141,600	140,400	-1,200	-1%	351 299
9 Urban Honolulu, HI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	27,100	26,100	-1,000	-4%	349 338
9 Austin-Round Rock, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	73,100	72,100	-1,000	-1%	349 299

Largest Percentage Gains

<u>Area¹</u>	<u>Industry²</u>	<u>May '21</u>	<u>May '22</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>Rank³ based on: gain/loss % change</u>
1 Cheyenne, WY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,400	4,600	1,200	35%	53 1
2 Decatur, IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,400	4,100	700	21%	77 2
3 Lawton, OK	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,500	1,800	300	20%	126 3
4 Laredo, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,100	3,700	600	19%	88 4
5 Bloomington, IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,000	3,500	500	17%	98 5
6 Johnson City, TN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,500	2,900	400	16%	110 6
6 Odessa, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	14,000	16,200	2,200	16%	33 6
6 Provo-Orem, UT	Mining, Logging, and Construction	27,500	31,900	4,400	16%	7 6
9 Morristown, TN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,000	2,300	300	15%	126 9
9 Norwich-New London-Westernly, CT-RI NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,900	4,500	600	15%	88 9
9 Lawrence-Methuen Town-Salem, MA-NH NECTA Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,900	4,500	600	15%	88 9
9 Lake Charles, LA	Construction	16,500	19,000	2,500	15%	27 9
9 Knoxville, TN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	19,400	22,300	2,900	15%	23 9

Largest Percentage Losses

<u>Area¹</u>	<u>Industry²</u>	<u>May '21</u>	<u>May '22</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>Rank³ based on: gain/loss % change</u>
1 Niles-Benton Harbor, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,400	2,100	-300	-13%	334 358
2 Lewiston, ID-WA	Construction	1,700	1,500	-200	-12%	321 357
3 Greenville, NC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,700	3,400	-300	-8%	334 356
4 Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford, FL	Construction	82,600	77,000	-5,600	-7%	358 351
4 Wausau, WI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,700	2,500	-200	-7%	321 351
4 Kankakee, IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,400	1,300	-100	-7%	297 351
4 Elmira, NY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,400	1,300	-100	-7%	297 351
4 Corvallis, OR	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,500	1,400	-100	-7%	297 351
9 Vineland-Bridgeton, NJ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,100	2,900	-200	-6%	321 350
10 Richmond, VA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	41,800	39,800	-2,000	-5%	357 345
10 Columbia, SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	17,000	16,200	-800	-5%	347 345
10 Charleston, WV	Mining, Logging, and Construction	6,500	6,200	-300	-5%	334 345
10 Kahului-Wailuku-Lahaina, HI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,300	4,100	-200	-5%	321 345
10 Battle Creek, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,000	1,900	-100	-5%	297 345

Footnotes:

¹Area: Metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) are designated by the Office of Management and Budget. MSAs generally cover one or more entire counties or county equivalents, or New England City and Town Area (NECTA) in the six New England states. There are 11 MSAs with more than 2,500,000 people. Employment in these MSAs is presented in two or more divisions (Div.) or subdivisions rather than for the MSA as a whole. See Metro Divisions tab for employment in those metros and each of their divisions.

²Industry: The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) only reports construction employment combined with mining and logging in most metros, because of small sample sizes or to preserve confidentiality for industries with few employers. Metro data is not seasonally adjusted.

³Rank: BLS currently provides construction (or combined construction, mining and logging) employment data for 358 metro areas, rounded to the nearest 100. Thus, it is not possible to calculate percent changes more accurately than to the nearest whole percent and all metro areas with the same whole-percent change in employment are ranked the same. (Exception: Employment changes of less than 0.5% are shown and ranked based on the nearest 0.1%.)