

**Largest Changes in Construction Employment by Metropolitan Area or Division, January 2021–January 2022**  
(not seasonally adjusted)

**Largest Percentage Gains**

<u>Area<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Industry<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>January '21</u>	<u>January '22</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank<sup>3</sup></u>
1 Cheyenne, WY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,000	4,400	47%	1,400	1
2 Lake Charles, LA	Construction	14,500	17,600	21%	3,100	2
2 Weirton-Steubenville, WV-OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,400	1,700	21%	300	2
4 Bloomington, IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,500	3,000	20%	500	4
5 Decatur, IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,100	3,700	19%	600	5
6 Bay City, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,100	1,300	18%	200	6
7 Lawrence-Methuen Town-Salem, MA-NH NECTA Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,500	4,100	17%	600	7
7 Leominster-Gardner, MA NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,800	2,100	17%	300	7
7 Morristown, TN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,800	2,100	17%	300	7
10 Toledo, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	11,900	13,800	16%	1,900	10
10 Laredo, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,100	3,600	16%	500	10

**Largest Percentage Losses**

<u>Area<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Industry<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>January '21</u>	<u>January '22</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank<sup>3</sup></u>
1 Sierra Vista-Douglas, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,900	2,000	-31%	-900	358
2 Danville, IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	600	500	-17%	-100	357
3 Tuscaloosa, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	6,500	5,900	-9%	-600	355
3 San Luis Obispo-Paso Robles-Arroyo Grande, CA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	9,700	8,800	-9%	-900	355
5 Ithaca, NY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,200	1,100	-8%	-100	354
6 Decatur, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,400	4,100	-7%	-300	352
6 Lewiston, ID-WA	Construction	1,500	1,400	-7%	-100	352
8 Augusta-Richmond County, GA-SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	19,900	18,800	-6%	-1,100	350
8 New York City, NY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	138,200	130,100	-6%	-8,100	350
10 Florence-Muscle Shoals, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,000	3,800	-5%	-200	344
10 El Centro, CA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,900	1,800	-5%	-100	344
10 Oakland-Hayward-Berkeley, CA Div.	Construction	70,600	67,200	-5%	-3,400	344
10 Lakeland-Winter Haven, FL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	15,200	14,500	-5%	-700	344
10 Charleston, WV	Mining, Logging, and Construction	6,300	6,000	-5%	-300	344
10 Green Bay, WI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	7,700	7,300	-5%	-400	344

**Most Jobs Added**

<u>Area<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Industry<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>January '21</u>	<u>January '22</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank<sup>3</sup></u>
1 Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land, TX	Construction	199,900	210,200	5%	10,300	111
2 Dallas-Plano-Irving, TX Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	142,000	149,600	5%	7,600	111
3 Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell, GA	Construction	126,300	133,400	6%	7,100	87
4 Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale, CA Div.	Construction	146,000	150,700	3%	4,700	170
5 Boston-Cambridge-Newton, MA NECTA Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	68,100	72,400	6%	4,300	87
6 Jacksonville, FL	Construction	46,000	50,000	9%	4,000	45
7 Warren-Troy-Farmington Hills, MI Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	49,900	53,700	8%	3,800	57
8 Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale, AZ	Construction	134,800	138,500	3%	3,700	170
9 St. Louis, MO-IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	63,800	67,400	6%	3,600	87
9 Midland, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	27,900	31,500	13%	3,600	15

**Most Jobs Lost**

<u>Area<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Industry<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>January '21</u>	<u>January '22</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank<sup>3</sup></u>
1 New York City, NY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	138,200	130,100	-6%	-8,100	350
2 Oakland-Hayward-Berkeley, CA Div.	Construction	70,600	67,200	-5%	-3,400	344
3 Northern Virginia, VA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	77,400	75,000	-3%	-2,400	328
4 Virginia Beach-Norfolk-Newport News, VA-NC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	39,500	37,800	-4%	-1,700	337
4 Anaheim-Santa Ana-Irvine, CA Div.	Construction	99,000	97,300	-2%	-1,700	315
6 Pittsburgh, PA	Construction	53,900	52,700	-2%	-1,200	315
7 Augusta-Richmond County, GA-SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	19,900	18,800	-6%	-1,100	350
7 Urban Honolulu, HI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	26,500	25,400	-4%	-1,100	337
9 Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, CA	Construction	104,900	103,900	-1%	-1,000	301
10 Sierra Vista-Douglas, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,900	2,000	-31%	-900	358
10 San Luis Obispo-Paso Robles-Arroyo Grande, CA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	9,700	8,800	-9%	-900	355

**Footnotes:**

<sup>1</sup>**Area:** Metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) are designated by the Office of Management and Budget. MSAs generally cover one or more entire counties or county equivalents, or New England City and Town Area (NECTA) in the six New England states. There are 11 MSAs with more than 2,500,000 people. Employment in these MSAs is presented in two or more divisions (Div.) or subdivisions rather than for the MSA as a whole. See Metro Divisions tab for employment in those metros and each of their divisions.

<sup>2</sup>**Industry:** The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) only reports construction employment combined with mining and logging in most metros, because of small sample sizes or to preserve confidentiality for industries with few employers. Metro data is not seasonally adjusted.

<sup>3</sup>**Rank:** BLS currently provides construction (or combined construction, mining and logging) employment data for 358 metro areas, rounded to the nearest 100. Thus, it is not possible to calculate percent changes more accurately than to the nearest whole percent and all metro areas with the same whole-percent change in employment are ranked the same. (Exception: Employment changes of less than 0.5% are shown and ranked based on the nearest 0.1%.)