

**Largest Changes in Construction Employment by Metropolitan Area or Division, April 2021–April 2022**  
(not seasonally adjusted)

Total 358 Areas: 235 (66%) with gains, 62 (17%) with losses, 61 unchanged. US total 3.2% (seasonally adjusted).

**Most Jobs Added**

<u>Area</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Industry</u> <sup>2</sup>	<u>April '21</u>	<u>April '22</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gains/loss</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>% change</u>	<u>Rank</u> <sup>3</sup> <u>based on:</u> <u>gain/loss % change</u>	
1 Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land, TX	Construction	209,500	222,500	13,000	6%	1	64
2 Dallas-Plano-Irving, TX Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	145,900	155,100	9,200	6%	2	64
3 St. Louis, MO-IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	68,200	73,600	5,400	8%	3	32
3 Seattle-Bellevue-Everett, WA Div.	Construction	102,700	108,100	5,400	5%	3	91
5 Provo-Orem, UT	Mining, Logging, and Construction	27,300	31,700	4,400	16%	5	7
6 Boston-Cambridge-Newton, MA NECTA Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	71,900	76,000	4,100	6%	6	64
7 Newark, NJ-PA Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	45,700	49,700	4,000	9%	7	29
8 Fort Worth-Arlington, TX Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	72,600	76,400	3,800	5%	8	91
9 Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL	Construction	85,600	89,200	3,600	4%	9	118
9 Salt Lake City, UT	Mining, Logging, and Construction	52,700	56,300	3,600	7%	9	46

**Most Jobs Lost**

<u>Area</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Industry</u> <sup>2</sup>	<u>April '21</u>	<u>April '22</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>% change</u>	<u>Rank</u> <sup>3</sup> <u>based on:</u> <u>gain/loss % change</u>	
1 Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford, FL	Construction	82,500	78,700	-3,800	-5%	358	346
2 New York City, NY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	141,200	139,100	-2,100	-1%	357	302
3 Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	84,600	83,100	-1,500	-2%	356	316
4 Oakland-Hayward-Berkeley, CA Div.	Construction	74,000	72,600	-1,400	-2%	354	316
4 Middlesex-Monmouth-Ocean, NJ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	40,500	39,100	-1,400	-3%	354	325
6 Bergen-Hudson-Passaic, NJ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	29,100	27,800	-1,300	-4%	352	339
6 Richmond, VA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	41,600	40,300	-1,300	-3%	352	325
8 Calvert-Charles-Prince George's, MD	Mining, Logging, and Construction	33,400	32,400	-1,000	-3%	350	325
8 San Antonio-New Braunfels, TX	Construction	57,400	56,400	-1,000	-2%	350	316
10 Lakeland-Winter Haven, FL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	15,600	14,700	-900	-6%	348	351
10 Columbia, SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	16,900	16,000	-900	-5%	348	346

**Largest Percentage Gains**

<u>Area</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Industry</u> <sup>2</sup>	<u>April '21</u>	<u>April '22</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>% change</u>	<u>Rank</u> <sup>3</sup> <u>based on:</u> <u>gain/loss % change</u>	
1 Cheyenne, WY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,400	4,500	1,100	32%	48	1
2 Decatur, IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,200	4,000	800	25%	58	2
3 Norwich-New London-Westerly, CT-RI NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,800	4,600	800	21%	58	3
4 Fairbanks, AK	Construction	2,100	2,500	400	19%	105	4
4 Laredo, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,100	3,700	600	19%	77	4
4 Lake Charles, LA	Construction	15,900	18,900	3,000	19%	17	4
7 Morristown, TN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,900	2,200	300	16%	120	7
7 Lawrence-Methuen Town-Salem, MA-NH NECTA Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,800	4,400	600	16%	77	7
7 Provo-Orem, UT	Mining, Logging, and Construction	27,300	31,700	4,400	16%	5	7
10 Bay City, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,300	1,500	200	15%	148	10

**Largest Percentage Losses**

<u>Area</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Industry</u> <sup>2</sup>	<u>April '21</u>	<u>April '22</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>% change</u>	<u>Rank</u> <sup>3</sup> <u>based on:</u> <u>gain/loss % change</u>	
1 Lewiston, ID-WA	Construction	1,800	1,500	-300	-17%	328	358
2 Niles-Benton Harbor, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,400	2,100	-300	-13%	328	357
3 Gadsden, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,100	1,000	-100	-9%	297	356
4 Greenville, NC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,700	3,400	-300	-8%	328	355
5 Napa, CA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,600	4,300	-300	-7%	328	354
6 Lakeland-Winter Haven, FL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	15,600	14,700	-900	-6%	348	351
6 Charleston, WV	Mining, Logging, and Construction	6,600	6,200	-400	-6%	340	351
6 Muncie, IN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,600	1,500	-100	-6%	297	351
9 Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford, FL	Construction	82,500	78,700	-3,800	-5%	358	346
9 Columbia, SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	16,900	16,000	-900	-5%	348	346
9 El Centro, CA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,000	1,900	-100	-5%	297	346
9 Battle Creek, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,900	1,800	-100	-5%	297	346
9 Dover-Durham, NH-ME NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,000	1,900	-100	-5%	297	346

**Footnotes:**

<sup>1</sup>**Area:** Metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) are designated by the Office of Management and Budget. MSAs generally cover one or more entire counties or county equivalents, or New England City and Town Area (NECTA) in the six New England states. There are 11 MSAs with more than 2,500,000 people. Employment in these MSAs is presented in two or more divisions (Div.) or subdivisions rather than for the MSA as a whole. See Metro Divisions tab for employment in those metros and each of their divisions.

<sup>2</sup>**Industry:** The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) only reports construction employment combined with mining and logging in most metros, because of small sample sizes or to preserve confidentiality for industries with few employers. Metro data is not seasonally adjusted.

<sup>3</sup>**Rank:** BLS currently provides construction (or combined construction, mining and logging) employment data for 358 metro areas, rounded to the nearest 100. Thus, it is not possible to calculate percent changes more accurately than to the nearest whole percent and all metro areas with the same whole-percent change in employment are ranked the same. (Exception: Employment changes of less than 0.5% are shown and ranked based on the nearest 0.1%.)