

Largest Changes in Construction Employment by Metropolitan Area or Division, August 2019–August 2020
(not seasonally adjusted)

Largest Percentage Gains

<u>Area¹</u>	<u>Industry²</u>	<u>August '19</u>	<u>August '20</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank³</u>
1 Niles-Benton Harbor, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,500	2,900	16%	400	1
2 Fond du Lac, WI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,400	3,900	15%	500	2
2 Walla Walla, WA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,300	1,500	15%	200	2
4 Oshkosh-Neenah, WI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	5,800	6,600	14%	800	4
5 Dutchess County-Putnam County, NY Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	9,000	10,200	13%	1,200	5
6 Kansas City, MO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	31,100	34,700	12%	3,600	6
6 Panama City, FL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	6,900	7,700	12%	800	6
6 Lewiston, ID-WA	Construction	1,700	1,900	12%	200	6
9 Springfield, MO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	9,700	10,800	11%	1,100	9
9 Sierra Vista-Douglas, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,800	2,000	11%	200	9

Largest Percentage Losses

<u>Area¹</u>	<u>Industry²</u>	<u>August '19</u>	<u>August '20</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank³</u>
1 Brockton-Bridgewater-Easton, MA NECTA Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	5,800	3,600	-38%	-2,200	358
2 Johnstown, PA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,900	1,900	-34%	-1,000	357
3 Bloomsburg-Berwick, PA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,300	900	-31%	-400	355
3 Altoona, PA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,200	2,200	-31%	-1,000	355
5 Youngstown-Warren-Boardman, OH-PA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	10,400	7,400	-29%	-3,000	354
6 Midland, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	40,400	29,100	-28%	-11,300	353
7 Yuma, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,100	2,300	-26%	-800	352
8 East Stroudsburg, PA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,000	1,500	-25%	-500	351
9 Lynn-Saugus-Marblehead, MA NECTA Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,700	1,300	-24%	-400	350
10 Peabody-Salem-Beverly, MA NECTA Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,700	2,900	-22%	-800	343
10 Atlantic City-Hammonton, NJ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	5,800	4,500	-22%	-1,300	343
10 Burlington-South Burlington, VT NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	6,400	5,000	-22%	-1,400	343
10 McAllen-Edinburg-Mission, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,700	6,800	-22%	-1,900	343
10 Longview, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	15,800	12,300	-22%	-3,500	343
10 Bergen-Hudson-Passaic, NJ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	32,300	25,200	-22%	-7,100	343
10 Montgomery County-Bucks County-Chester County, PA Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	57,400	44,600	-22%	-12,800	343

Most Jobs Added

<u>Area¹</u>	<u>Industry²</u>	<u>August '19</u>	<u>August '20</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank³</u>
1 Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson, IN	Construction	55,800	60,600	9%	4,800	13
2 Baltimore-Columbia-Towson, MD	Mining, Logging, and Construction	83,300	87,600	5%	4,300	27
3 Kansas City, MO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	31,100	34,700	12%	3,600	6
4 Austin-Round Rock, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	69,700	72,500	4%	2,800	38
4 Dallas-Plano-Irving, TX Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	152,600	155,400	2%	2,800	55
6 Boise City, ID	Mining, Logging, and Construction	27,700	30,400	10%	2,700	11
7 Salt Lake City, UT	Mining, Logging, and Construction	48,400	50,800	5%	2,400	27
8 Provo-Orem, UT	Mining, Logging, and Construction	26,200	28,400	8%	2,200	14
9 Grand Rapids-Wyoming, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	27,100	28,900	7%	1,800	18
10 Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL	Construction	81,100	82,500	2%	1,400	55

Most Jobs Lost

<u>Area¹</u>	<u>Industry²</u>	<u>August '19</u>	<u>August '20</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank³</u>
1 Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land, TX	Construction	239,100	216,300	-10%	-22,800	264
2 New York City, NY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	162,900	141,200	-13%	-21,700	296
3 Montgomery County-Bucks County-Chester County, PA Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	57,400	44,600	-22%	-12,800	343
4 Midland, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	40,400	29,100	-28%	-11,300	353
5 Oakland-Hayward-Berkeley, CA Div.	Construction	78,100	67,100	-14%	-11,000	306
5 Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	96,100	85,100	-11%	-11,000	278
7 Boston-Cambridge-Newton, MA NECTA Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	77,400	68,300	-12%	-9,100	289
8 Denver-Aurora-Lakewood, CO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	114,800	105,900	-8%	-8,900	245
9 Chicago-Naperville-Arlington Heights, IL Div.	Construction	141,200	133,200	-6%	-8,000	211
10 Sacramento-Roseville-Arden-Arcade, CA	Construction	72,800	65,700	-10%	-7,100	264
10 Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, CA	Construction	110,500	103,400	-6%	-7,100	211
10 Bergen-Hudson-Passaic, NJ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	32,300	25,200	-22%	-7,100	343

Footnotes:

¹**Area:** Metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) are designated by the Office of Management and Budget. MSAs generally cover one or more entire counties or county equivalents, or New England City and Town Area (NECTA) in the six New England states. There are 11 MSAs with more than 2,500,000 people. Employment in these MSAs is presented in two or more divisions (Div.) or subdivisions rather than for the MSA as a whole. See Metro Divisions tab for employment in those metros and each of their divisions. Click [here](#) for a list of counties in each MSA and division.

²**Industry:** The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) only reports construction employment combined with mining and logging in most metros, because of small sample sizes or to preserve confidentiality for industries with few employers. Metro data is not seasonally adjusted.

³**Rank:** BLS currently provides construction (or combined construction, mining and logging) employment data for 358 metro areas, rounded to the nearest 100. Thus, it is not possible to calculate percent changes more accurately than to the nearest whole percent and all metro areas with the same whole-percent change in employment are ranked the same. (Exception: Employment changes of less than 0.5% are shown and ranked based on the nearest 0.1%.)