

Largest Changes in Construction Employment by Metropolitan Area or Division, February 2020–April 2021
(not seasonally adjusted)

Largest Percentage Gains

<u>Area¹</u>	<u>Industry²</u>	<u>February '20</u>	<u>April '21</u>	<u>14-month % change</u>	<u>14-month gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank³</u>
1 Sierra Vista-Douglas, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,500	3,600	44%	1,100	1
2 Fargo, ND-MN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	7,400	9,900	34%	2,500	2
3 Lawrence-Methuen Town-Salem, MA-NH NECTA Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,400	4,400	29%	1,000	3
4 Bay City, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,100	1,400	27%	300	4
5 Taunton-Middleborough-Norton, MA NECTA Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,200	3,900	22%	700	5
6 Lake Havasu City-Kingman, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,500	4,200	20%	700	6
6 Battle Creek, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,500	1,800	20%	300	6
8 Bridgeport-Stamford-Norwalk, CT NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	11,100	13,200	19%	2,100	8
8 Lynn-Saugus-Marblehead, MA NECTA Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,600	1,900	19%	300	8
10 Ogden-Clearfield, UT	Mining, Logging, and Construction	19,700	23,300	18%	3,600	10
10 Davenport-Moline-Rock Island, IA-IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,500	10,000	18%	1,500	10
10 Bismarck, ND	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,900	4,600	18%	700	10
10 Dover-Durham, NH-ME NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,700	2,000	18%	300	10
10 Kankakee, IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,100	1,300	18%	200	10
10 Bloomsburg-Berwick, PA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,100	1,300	18%	200	10

Largest Percentage Losses

<u>Area¹</u>	<u>Industry²</u>	<u>February '20</u>	<u>April '21</u>	<u>14-month % change</u>	<u>14-month gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank³</u>
1 Odessa, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	20,600	12,600	-39%	-8,000	358
2 Lake Charles, LA	Construction	19,900	12,700	-36%	-7,200	357
3 Midland, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	38,000	28,200	-26%	-9,800	356
4 Laredo, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,000	3,100	-23%	-900	354
4 Longview, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	15,000	11,600	-23%	-3,400	354
6 Greeley, CO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	20,000	15,800	-21%	-4,200	353
7 Abilene, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	5,400	4,300	-20%	-1,100	352
8 San Angelo, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,900	3,200	-18%	-700	351
9 Victoria, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,600	3,000	-17%	-600	350
10 Gulfport-Biloxi-Pascagoula, MS	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,200	6,900	-16%	-1,300	349

Most Jobs Added

<u>Area¹</u>	<u>Industry²</u>	<u>February '20</u>	<u>April '21</u>	<u>14-month % change</u>	<u>14-month gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank³</u>
1 Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson, IN	Construction	52,800	60,700	15%	7,900	19
2 Chicago-Naperville-Arlington Heights, IL Div.	Construction	119,400	125,700	5%	6,300	118
3 Seattle-Bellevue-Everett, WA Div.	Construction	102,600	108,800	6%	6,200	95
4 Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	77,100	83,000	8%	5,900	69
5 Sacramento-Roseville-Arden-Arcade, CA	Construction	70,600	75,900	8%	5,300	69
6 St. Louis, MO-IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	64,200	68,500	7%	4,300	79
6 Pittsburgh, PA	Construction	58,300	62,600	7%	4,300	79
8 Columbus, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	42,500	46,300	9%	3,800	57
9 Ogden-Clearfield, UT	Mining, Logging, and Construction	19,700	23,300	18%	3,600	10
10 Kansas City, MO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	29,200	32,600	12%	3,400	32

Most Jobs Lost

<u>Area¹</u>	<u>Industry²</u>	<u>February '20</u>	<u>April '21</u>	<u>14-month % change</u>	<u>14-month gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank³</u>
1 Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land, TX	Construction	237,400	208,100	-12%	-29,300	341
2 New York City, NY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	157,900	135,600	-14%	-22,300	344
3 Midland, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	38,000	28,200	-26%	-9,800	356
4 Odessa, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	20,600	12,600	-39%	-8,000	358
5 Lake Charles, LA	Construction	19,900	12,700	-36%	-7,200	357
6 Nassau County-Suffolk County, NY Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	79,100	72,100	-9%	-7,000	331
7 Baton Rouge, LA	Construction	48,500	41,600	-14%	-6,900	344
8 Las Vegas-Henderson-Paradise, NV	Construction	71,800	65,000	-9%	-6,800	331
9 Dallas-Plano-Irving, TX Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	149,800	143,600	-4%	-6,200	294
10 Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale, CA Div.	Construction	153,400	148,100	-3%	-5,300	280

Footnotes:

¹**Area:** Metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) are designated by the Office of Management and Budget. MSAs generally cover one or more entire counties or county equivalents, or New England City and Town Area (NECTA) in the six New England states. There are 11 MSAs with more than 2,500,000 people. Employment in these MSAs is presented in two or more divisions (Div.) or subdivisions rather than for the MSA as a whole. See Metro Divisions tab for employment in those metros and each of their divisions.

²**Industry:** The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) only reports construction employment combined with mining and logging in most metros, because of small sample sizes or to preserve confidentiality for industries with few employers. Metro data is not seasonally adjusted.

³**Rank:** BLS currently provides construction (or combined construction, mining and logging) employment data for 358 metro areas, rounded to the nearest 100. Thus, it is not possible to calculate percent changes more accurately than to the nearest whole percent and all metro areas with the same whole-percent change in employment are ranked the same. (Exception: Employment changes of less than 0.5% are shown and ranked based on the nearest 0.1%.)