

Rankings of Metropolitan Areas by Percentage Change in Construction Employment, April 2017–April 2018
 (not seasonally adjusted)

<u>Area</u> ¹	<u>Industry</u> ²	<u>Apr '17</u>	<u>Apr '18</u>	<u>12-mo. % change</u>	<u>12-mo. gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank</u> ³
Midland, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	26,200	34,200	31%	8,000	1
Merced, CA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,400	3,100	29%	700	2
New Bedford, MA NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,500	3,000	20%	500	3
Atlantic City-Hammonton, NJ	Mining, Logging, and Cons	5,200	6,200	19%	1,000	4
Weirton-Steubenville, WV-OH	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,600	1,900	19%	300	4
Vallejo-Fairfield, CA	Construction	9,700	11,400	18%	1,700	6
Odessa, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	15,900	18,700	18%	2,800	6
Lawrence-Methuen Town-Salem, MA-NH NECTA Division	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,100	3,600	16%	500	8
Leominster-Gardner, MA NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,900	2,200	16%	300	8
Kingston, NY	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,600	3,000	15%	400	10
Corvallis, OR	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,300	1,500	15%	200	10
Wenatchee, WA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,600	3,000	15%	400	10
Greeley, CO	Mining, Logging, and Cons	16,800	19,100	14%	2,300	13
Lake County-Kenosha County, IL-WI Metropolitan Division	Construction	14,100	16,100	14%	2,000	13
Lynn-Saugus-Marblehead, MA NECTA Division	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,400	1,600	14%	200	13
St. George, UT	Mining, Logging, and Cons	6,500	7,400	14%	900	13
Bellingham, WA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	7,800	8,900	14%	1,100	13
Lake Charles, LA	Construction	24,900	28,200	13%	3,300	18
Kalamazoo-Portage, MI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	6,100	6,900	13%	800	18
Las Vegas-Henderson-Paradise, NV	Construction	58,300	66,000	13%	7,700	18
Bend-Redmond, OR	Mining, Logging, and Cons	6,300	7,100	13.0%	800	18
Madera, CA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,700	1,900	12%	200	22
Stockton-Lodi, CA	Construction	11,200	12,500	12%	1,300	22
Grand Junction, CO	Mining, Logging, and Cons	6,000	6,700	12%	700	22
Miami-Miami Beach-Kendall, FL Metropolitan Division	Construction	46,500	52,200	12%	5,700	22
Evansville, IN-KY	Mining, Logging, and Cons	9,900	11,100	12%	1,200	22
Jackson, MI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,700	1,900	12%	200	22
Vineland-Bridgeton, NJ	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,500	2,800	12%	300	22
East Stroudsburg, PA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,700	1,900	12%	200	22
Provo-Orem, UT	Mining, Logging, and Cons	22,300	24,900	12%	2,600	22
Anniston-Oxford-Jacksonville, AL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	900	1,000	11%	100	31
Lake Havasu City-Kingman, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,800	3,100	11%	300	31
Chico, CA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,600	4,000	11%	400	31
El Centro, CA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,800	2,000	11%	200	31
Yakima, WA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,800	4,200	11%	400	31
Janesville-Beloit, WI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,700	3,000	11%	300	31
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale, AZ	Construction	110,400	121,400	10%	11,000	37
Coeur d'Alene, ID	Construction	4,900	5,400	10%	500	37
Framingham, MA NECTA Division	Mining, Logging, and Cons	9,100	10,000	10%	900	37
Bay City, MI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,000	1,100	10%	100	37
Medford, OR	Construction	4,200	4,600	10%	400	37
Salem, OR	Construction	9,700	10,700	10%	1,000	37
Spartanburg, SC	Mining, Logging, and Cons	7,100	7,800	10%	700	37
Johnson City, TN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,100	2,300	10%	200	37
Logan, UT-ID	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,900	3,200	10%	300	37
Walla Walla, WA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,000	1,100	10%	100	37
Sheboygan, WI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,100	2,300	10%	200	37
Florence-Muscle Shoals, AL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,200	3,500	9%	300	48
Gadsden, AL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,100	1,200	9%	100	48
Fresno, CA	Construction	16,900	18,500	9%	1,600	48
San Rafael, CA Metropolitan Division	Construction	6,900	7,500	9%	600	48
Santa Cruz-Watsonville, CA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	4,500	4,900	9%	400	48
Crestview-Fort Walton Beach-Destin, FL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	6,600	7,200	9%	600	48
Deltona-Daytona Beach-Ormond Beach, FL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	13,000	14,200	9%	1,200	48
Fayetteville, NC	Mining, Logging, and Cons	4,400	4,800	9%	400	48
Tulsa, OK	Construction	21,900	23,800	9%	1,900	48
Dallas-Plano-Irving, TX Metropolitan Division	Mining, Logging, and Cons	138,800	151,200	9%	12,400	48
Salt Lake City, UT	Mining, Logging, and Cons	39,800	43,200	9%	3,400	48
Cheyenne, WY	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,400	3,700	9%	300	48
Dothan, AL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,400	2,600	8%	200	60
Mobile, AL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	11,200	12,100	8%	900	60
Prescott, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Cons	5,100	5,500	8%	400	60
Fayetteville-Springdale-Rogers, AR-MO	Mining, Logging, and Cons	10,900	11,800	8%	900	60
Modesto, CA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	9,200	9,900	8%	700	60
Oakland-Hayward-Berkeley, CA Metropolitan Division	Construction	68,400	74,200	8%	5,800	60
Oxnard-Thousand Oaks-Ventura, CA	Construction	15,500	16,700	8%	1,200	60
Waterbury, CT NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,500	2,700	8%	200	60
Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford, FL	Construction	72,400	78,400	8%	6,000	60
Panama City, FL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	5,100	5,500	8%	400	60
Pensacola-Ferry Pass-Brent, FL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	11,300	12,200	8%	900	60
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton-Delray Beach, FL Metropolit	Construction	35,200	38,000	8%	2,800	60

Rankings of Metropolitan Areas by Percentage Change in Construction Employment, March 2017–March 2018
(not seasonally adjusted)

<u>Area</u> ¹	<u>Industry</u> ²	<u>Apr '17</u>	<u>Apr '18</u>	<u>12-mo. % change</u>	<u>12-mo. gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank</u> ³
Lewiston, ID-WA	Construction	1,300	1,400	8%	100	60
Terre Haute, IN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,700	4,000	8%	300	60
Owensboro, KY	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,600	2,800	8%	200	60
Omaha-Council Bluffs, NE-IA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	27,200	29,500	8%	2,300	60
Trenton, NJ	Mining, Logging, and Cons	4,900	5,300	8%	400	60
Albuquerque, NM	Mining, Logging, and Cons	22,600	24,300	8%	1,700	60
Harrisburg-Carlisle, PA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	11,100	12,000	8%	900	60
Reading, PA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	7,700	8,300	8%	600	60
Myrtle Beach-Conway-North Myrtle Beach, SC-NC	Mining, Logging, and Cons	9,500	10,300	8%	800	60
Knoxville, TN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	18,000	19,400	8%	1,400	60
San Antonio-New Braunfels, TX	Construction	50,700	54,900	8%	4,200	60
Tacoma-Lakewood, WA Metropolitan Division	Construction	22,300	24,000	8%	1,700	60
Sierra Vista-Douglas, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,400	1,500	7%	100	84
Tucson, AZ	Construction	15,600	16,700	7%	1,100	84
Redding, CA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,000	3,200	7%	200	84
Salinas, CA	Construction	5,900	6,300	7%	400	84
Santa Maria-Santa Barbara, CA	Construction	8,200	8,800	7%	600	84
Santa Rosa, CA	Construction	12,700	13,600	7%	900	84
Boulder, CO	Mining, Logging, and Cons	5,600	6,000	7%	400	84
Fort Lauderdale-Pompano Beach-Deerfield Beach, FL Metropolitan Division	Construction	46,500	49,900	7%	3,400	84
Lakeland-Winter Haven, FL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	13,100	14,000	7%	900	84
Muncie, IN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,400	1,500	7%	100	84
Bangor, ME NECTA	Construction	2,800	3,000	7%	200	84
Peabody-Salem-Beverly, MA NECTA Division	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,000	3,200	7%	200	84
Worcester, MA-CT NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	10,400	11,100	7%	700	84
Ann Arbor, MI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	4,100	4,400	7%	300	84
Warren-Troy-Farmington Hills, MI Metropolitan Division	Mining, Logging, and Cons	47,100	50,200	7%	3,100	84
Lincoln, NE	Mining, Logging, and Cons	9,000	9,600	7%	600	84
Albany, OR	Construction	2,700	2,900	7%	200	84
Altoona, PA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,000	3,200	7%	200	84
Charleston-North Charleston, SC	Mining, Logging, and Cons	20,000	21,300	7%	1,300	84
Chattanooga, TN-GA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	9,800	10,500	7%	700	84
Sherman-Denison, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,900	3,100	7%	200	84
Tyler, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	5,900	6,300	7%	400	84
Northern Virginia, VA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	73,000	78,400	7%	5,400	84
Bremerton-Silverdale, WA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	4,400	4,700	7%	300	84
Olympia-Tumwater, WA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	5,600	6,000	7%	400	84
Huntsville, AL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	8,600	9,100	6%	500	109
Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, CA	Construction	95,100	100,700	6%	5,600	109
San Diego-Carlsbad, CA	Construction	78,500	83,400	6%	4,900	109
San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara, CA	Construction	48,600	51,600	6%	3,000	109
Denver-Aurora-Lakewood, CO	Mining, Logging, and Cons	99,500	105,900	6%	6,400	109
New Haven, CT NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	10,200	10,800	6%	600	109
Jacksonville, FL	Construction	42,400	45,100	6%	2,700	109
North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	23,000	24,400	6%	1,400	109
Palm Bay-Melbourne-Titusville, FL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	13,200	14,000	6%	800	109
Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell, GA	Construction	119,000	125,900	6%	6,900	109
Boise City, ID	Mining, Logging, and Cons	21,400	22,600	6%	1,200	109
Topeka, KS	Mining, Logging, and Cons	5,200	5,500	6%	300	109
Silver Spring-Frederick-Rockville, MD Metropolitan Division	Mining, Logging, and Cons	34,000	36,200	6%	2,200	109
Brockton-Bridgewater-Easton, MA NECTA Division	Mining, Logging, and Cons	4,700	5,000	6%	300	109
Detroit-Warren-Dearborn, MI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	68,500	72,500	6%	4,000	109
Grand Rapids-Wyoming, MI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	23,000	24,400	6%	1,400	109
Dover-Durham, NH-ME NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,600	1,700	6%	100	109
Nashua, NH-MA NECTA Division	Mining, Logging, and Cons	5,200	5,500	6%	300	109
Greenville, NC	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,100	3,300	6%	200	109
Raleigh, NC	Mining, Logging, and Cons	37,100	39,300	6%	2,200	109
Cleveland, TN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,700	1,800	6%	100	109
Amarillo, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	7,000	7,400	6%	400	109
Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land, TX	Construction	215,700	227,900	6%	12,200	109
Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale, CA Metropolitan Division	Construction	136,400	143,600	5%	7,200	132
Napa, CA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	4,100	4,300	5%	200	132
Sacramento--Roseville--Arden-Arcade, CA	Construction	56,100	58,900	5%	2,800	132
San Luis Obispo-Paso Robles-Arroyo Grande, CA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	7,300	7,700	5%	400	132
Colorado Springs, CO	Mining, Logging, and Cons	16,700	17,500	5%	800	132
Salisbury, MD-DE	Mining, Logging, and Cons	8,500	8,900	5%	400	132
Naples-Immokalee-Marco Island, FL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	15,300	16,100	5%	800	132
Tallahassee, FL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	7,700	8,100	5%	400	132
Idaho Falls, ID	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,800	4,000	5%	200	132
Springfield, IL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,900	4,100	5%	200	132
Lexington-Fayette, KY	Mining, Logging, and Cons	13,200	13,900	5%	700	132
Pittsfield, MA NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,000	2,100	5%	100	132
Gulfport-Biloxi-Pascagoula, MS	Mining, Logging, and Cons	7,600	8,000	5%	400	132
Springfield, MO	Mining, Logging, and Cons	8,800	9,200	5%	400	132

Rankings of Metropolitan Areas by Percentage Change in Construction Employment, March 2017–March 2018
(not seasonally adjusted)

<u>Area</u> ¹	<u>Industry</u> ²	<u>Apr '17</u>	<u>Apr '18</u>	<u>12-mo. % change</u>	<u>12-mo. gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank</u> ³
Kansas City, MO	Mining, Logging, and Cons	28,600	29,900	5%	1,300	132
New York City, NY	Mining, Logging, and Cons	148,000	154,800	5%	6,800	132
Asheville, NC	Mining, Logging, and Cons	8,600	9,000	5%	400	132
Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton, NC	Mining, Logging, and Cons	4,000	4,200	5%	200	132
Oklahoma City, OK	Construction	28,800	30,200	5%	1,400	132
Chambersburg-Waynesboro, PA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,200	2,300	5%	100	132
Gettysburg, PA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,900	2,000	5%	100	132
Lancaster, PA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	16,700	17,500	5%	800	132
Scranton--Wilkes-Barre--Hazleton, PA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	10,200	10,700	5%	500	132
Austin-Round Rock, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	61,000	63,900	5%	2,900	132
El Paso, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	15,300	16,000	5%	700	132
Lubbock, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	7,700	8,100	5%	400	132
San Angelo, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,700	3,900	5%	200	132
Lynchburg, VA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	5,700	6,000	5%	300	132
Kennewick-Richland, WA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	8,700	9,100	5%	400	132
Mount Vernon-Anacortes, WA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	4,300	4,500	5%	200	132
Seattle-Bellevue-Everett, WA Metropolitan Division	Construction	95,000	100,000	5%	5,000	132
Eau Claire, WI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,800	4,000	5%	200	132
Flagstaff, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,300	2,400	4%	100	164
Yuma, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,400	2,500	4%	100	164
Anaheim-Santa Ana-Irvine, CA Metropolitan Division	Construction	100,200	104,100	4%	3,900	164
Visalia-Porterville, CA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	5,600	5,800	4%	200	164
Bridgeport-Stamford-Norwalk, CT NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	12,200	12,700	4%	500	164
Wilmington, DE-MD-NJ Metropolitan Division	Mining, Logging, and Cons	17,800	18,500	4%	700	164
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL	Construction	73,000	76,200	4%	3,200	164
Elgin, IL Metropolitan Division	Construction	12,600	13,100	4%	500	164
Gary, IN Metropolitan Division	Construction	17,000	17,600	4%	600	164
South Bend-Mishawaka, IN-MI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	5,500	5,700	4%	200	164
Houma-Thibodaux, LA	Construction	4,500	4,700	4%	200	164
Baltimore-Columbia-Towson, MD	Mining, Logging, and Cons	76,200	79,000	4%	2,800	164
Boston-Cambridge-Newton, MA NECTA Division	Mining, Logging, and Cons	64,600	67,000	4%	2,400	164
Flint, MI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	5,000	5,200	4%	200	164
Portsmouth, NH-ME NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,500	2,600	4%	100	164
Santa Fe, NM	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,800	2,900	4%	100	164
Glens Falls, NY	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,700	2,800	4%	100	164
Burlington, NC	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,700	2,800	4%	100	164
Winston-Salem, NC	Mining, Logging, and Cons	10,400	10,800	4%	400	164
Mansfield, OH	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,500	2,600	4%	100	164
Delaware County, PA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	11,900	12,400	4%	500	164
Memphis, TN-MS-AR	Mining, Logging, and Cons	22,600	23,500	4%	900	164
Texarkana, TX-AR	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,800	2,900	4%	100	164
Waco, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	7,000	7,300	4%	300	164
Richmond, VA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	37,500	38,900	4%	1,400	164
Huntington-Ashland, WV-KY-OH	Mining, Logging, and Cons	7,400	7,700	4.0%	300	164
Green Bay, WI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	7,800	8,100	4%	300	164
Racine, WI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,700	2,800	4%	100	164
Wausau, WI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,400	2,500	4%	100	164
Birmingham-Hoover, AL	Construction	25,100	25,900	3%	800	193
Decatur, AL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,400	3,500	3%	100	193
Fort Collins, CO	Mining, Logging, and Cons	11,200	11,500	3%	300	193
Pueblo, CO	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,400	3,500	3%	100	193
Augusta-Richmond County, GA-SC	Mining, Logging, and Cons	11,800	12,100	3%	300	193
Kahului-Wailuku-Lahaina, HI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,800	3,900	3%	100	193
Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson, IN	Construction	50,300	51,900	3%	1,600	193
Bowling Green, KY	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,200	3,300	3%	100	193
Barnstable Town, MA NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	6,400	6,600	3%	200	193
Lowell-Billerica-Chelmsford, MA-NH NECTA Division	Mining, Logging, and Cons	9,100	9,400	3%	300	193
Taunton-Middleborough-Norton, MA NECTA Division	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,300	3,400	3%	100	193
Lansing-East Lansing, MI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	7,200	7,400	3%	200	193
Binghamton, NY	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,900	4,000	3%	100	193
Buffalo-Cheektowaga-Niagara Falls, NY	Mining, Logging, and Cons	20,100	20,700	3%	600	193
Dutchess County-Putnam County, NY Metropolitan Division	Mining, Logging, and Cons	7,800	8,000	3%	200	193
Nassau County-Suffolk County, NY Metropolitan Division	Mining, Logging, and Cons	79,700	82,400	3%	2,700	193
Charlotte-Concord-Gastonia, NC-SC	Mining, Logging, and Cons	61,100	62,900	3%	1,800	193
Durham-Chapel Hill, NC	Mining, Logging, and Cons	8,000	8,200	3%	200	193
Greensboro-High Point, NC	Mining, Logging, and Cons	15,000	15,500	3%	500	193
Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	46,300	47,500	3%	1,200	193
Cleveland-Elyria, OH	Mining, Logging, and Cons	35,300	36,500	3%	1,200	193
Dayton, OH	Mining, Logging, and Cons	12,200	12,600	3%	400	193
Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton, PA-NJ	Mining, Logging, and Cons	13,100	13,500	3%	400	193
Erie, PA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,900	4,000	3%	100	193
Pittsburgh, PA	Construction	55,500	57,100	3%	1,600	193
Philadelphia City, PA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	11,400	11,700	3%	300	193
Clarksville, TN-KY	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,100	3,200	3%	100	193

Rankings of Metropolitan Areas by Percentage Change in Construction Employment, March 2017–March 2018
(not seasonally adjusted)

<u>Area</u> ¹	<u>Industry</u> ²	<u>Apr '17</u>	<u>Apr '18</u>	<u>12-mo. % change</u>	<u>12-mo. gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank</u> ³
Charlottesville, VA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	5,800	6,000	3%	200	193
Charleston, WV	Mining, Logging, and Cons	6,800	7,000	3%	200	193
Madison, WI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	17,100	17,600	3%	500	193
Montgomery, AL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	6,200	6,300	2%	100	223
Tuscaloosa, AL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	6,200	6,300	2%	100	223
Hartford-West Hartford-East Hartford, CT NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	19,400	19,800	2%	400	223
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Cons	15,400	15,700	2%	300	223
Gainesville, FL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	5,500	5,600	2%	100	223
Columbus, GA-AL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	4,500	4,600	2%	100	223
Chicago-Naperville-Arlington Heights, IL Metropolitan Divi	Construction	124,700	127,300	2%	2,600	223
Kansas City, KS	Mining, Logging, and Cons	20,300	20,700	2%	400	223
Lafayette, LA	Construction	9,700	9,900	2%	200	223
Duluth, MN-WI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	8,400	8,600	2%	200	223
Albany-Schenectady-Troy, NY	Mining, Logging, and Cons	18,700	19,000	2%	300	223
Youngstown-Warren-Boardman, OH-PA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	9,100	9,300	2%	200	223
Portland-Vancouver-Hillsboro, OR-WA	Construction	65,500	67,000	2%	1,500	223
Burlington-South Burlington, VT NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	5,700	5,800	2%	100	223
Roanoke, VA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	8,700	8,900	2%	200	223
Virginia Beach-Norfolk-Newport News, VA-NC	Mining, Logging, and Cons	37,300	38,000	2%	700	223
Oshkosh-Neenah, WI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	5,000	5,100	2%	100	223
Des Moines-West Des Moines, IA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	19,700	19,800	1%	100	240
Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	28,200	28,600	1%	400	240
Springfield, MA-CT NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	11,000	11,100	1%	100	240
Syracuse, NY	Mining, Logging, and Cons	12,100	12,200	1%	100	240
Orange-Rockland-Westchester, NY	Mining, Logging, and Cons	40,800	41,200	1%	400	240
Akron, OH	Mining, Logging, and Cons	13,700	13,900	1%	200	240
Columbus, OH	Mining, Logging, and Cons	39,000	39,500	1%	500	240
Eugene, OR	Construction	6,800	6,900	1%	100	240
Providence-Warwick, RI-MA NECTA	Construction	22,600	22,900	1%	300	240
Greenville-Anderson-Mauldin, SC	Mining, Logging, and Cons	18,200	18,300	1.0%	100	240
College Station-Bryan, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	7,500	7,600	1%	100	240
Fort Worth-Arlington, TX Metropolitan Division	Mining, Logging, and Cons	72,300	72,700	1%	400	240
Killeen-Temple, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	6,700	6,800	1%	100	240
Longview, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	14,300	14,400	1%	100	240
McAllen-Edinburg-Mission, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	8,200	8,300	1%	100	240
Milwaukee-Waukesha-West Allis, WI	Construction	29,800	30,000	1%	200	240
Yuba City, CA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,400	2,400	0%	0	256
Norwich-New London-Westerly, CT-RI NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	4,300	4,300	0%	0	256
Cape Coral-Fort Myers, FL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	27,100	27,000	0%	-100	256
Punta Gorda, FL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,900	3,900	0%	0	256
Sebastian-Vero Beach, FL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,900	3,900	0%	0	256
Savannah, GA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	7,400	7,400	0%	0	256
Champaign-Urbana, IL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,300	3,300	0%	0	256
Danville, IL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	700	700	0%	0	256
Decatur, IL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,000	3,000	0%	0	256
Kankakee, IL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,300	1,300	0%	0	256
Bloomington, IN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,600	2,600	0%	0	256
Columbus, IN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,800	1,800	0%	0	256
Elkhart-Goshen, IN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,500	3,500	0%	0	256
Fort Wayne, IN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	10,500	10,500	0%	0	256
Kokomo, IN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,100	1,100	0%	0	256
Lafayette-West Lafayette, IN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,400	3,400	0%	0	256
Michigan City-La Porte, IN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,000	2,000	0%	0	256
Elizabethtown-Fort Knox, KY	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,900	1,900	0%	0	256
New Orleans-Metairie, LA	Construction	29,200	29,200	0%	0	256
Shreveport-Bossier City, LA	Construction	8,500	8,500	0%	0	256
Lewiston-Auburn, ME NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,500	2,500	0%	0	256
Hagerstown-Martinsburg, MD-WV	Mining, Logging, and Cons	4,100	4,100	0%	0	256
Niles-Benton Harbor, MI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,800	1,800	0%	0	256
Reno, NV	Construction	16,900	16,900	0%	0	256
Manchester, NH NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	5,100	5,100	0%	0	256
Elmira, NY	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,400	1,400	0%	0	256
Ithaca, NY	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,100	1,100	0%	0	256
Watertown-Fort Drum, NY	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,500	1,500	0%	0	256
Rocky Mount, NC	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,400	2,400	0%	0	256
Lima, OH	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,000	2,000	0%	0	256
Lawton, OK	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,700	1,700	0%	0	256
Grants Pass, OR	Construction	1,000	1,000	0%	0	256
Johnstown, PA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,000	2,000	0%	0	256
Rapid City, SD	Mining, Logging, and Cons	4,900	4,900	0%	0	256
Jackson, TN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,800	2,800	0%	0	256
Morristown, TN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,000	2,000	0%	0	256
Brownsville-Harlingen, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,800	2,800	0%	0	256
Corpus Christi, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	26,400	26,500	0%	100	256

Rankings of Metropolitan Areas by Percentage Change in Construction Employment, March 2017–March 2018
(not seasonally adjusted)

<u>Area</u> ¹	<u>Industry</u> ²	<u>Apr '17</u>	<u>Apr '18</u>	<u>12-mo. % change</u>	<u>12-mo. gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank</u> ³
Longview, WA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,200	3,200	0%	0	256
Fond du Lac, WI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,900	2,900	0%	0	256
La Crosse-Onalaska, WI-MN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,800	2,800	0%	0	256
Anchorage, AK	Construction	8,200	8,100	-1%	-100	297
San Francisco-Redwood City-South San Francisco, CA Metr	Construction	39,100	38,700	-1%	-400	297
Ocala, FL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	7,300	7,200	-1%	-100	297
Port St. Lucie, FL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	10,100	10,000	-1%	-100	297
Cedar Rapids, IA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	8,100	8,000	-1%	-100	297
Jackson, MS	Construction	10,400	10,300	-1%	-100	297
Wilmington, NC	Mining, Logging, and Cons	7,200	7,100	-1%	-100	297
Beaumont-Port Arthur, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	19,400	19,300	-1%	-100	297
Fort Smith, AR-OK	Mining, Logging, and Cons	5,400	5,300	-2%	-100	305
Little Rock-North Little Rock-Conway, AR	Mining, Logging, and Cons	17,200	16,800	-2%	-400	305
Bakersfield, CA	Construction	15,000	14,700	-2%	-300	305
Rockford, IL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	4,800	4,700	-2%	-100	305
Haverhill-Newburyport-Amesbury Town, MA-NH NECTA D	Mining, Logging, and Cons	4,700	4,600	-2%	-100	305
Bergen-Hudson-Passaic, NJ	Mining, Logging, and Cons	30,200	29,500	-2%	-700	305
Rochester, NY	Construction	19,700	19,300	-2%	-400	305
Fargo, ND-MN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	8,500	8,300	-2%	-200	305
Canton-Massillon, OH	Mining, Logging, and Cons	9,200	9,000	-2%	-200	305
York-Hanover, PA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	11,700	11,500	-2%	-200	305
Sioux Falls, SD	Mining, Logging, and Cons	8,300	8,100	-2%	-200	305
Abilene, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	4,500	4,400	-2%	-100	305
Appleton, WI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	8,400	8,200	-2%	-200	305
Urban Honolulu, HI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	26,600	25,800	-3%	-800	318
Davenport-Moline-Rock Island, IA-IL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	10,000	9,700	-3%	-300	318
Peoria, IL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	7,200	7,000	-3%	-200	318
Wichita, KS	Mining, Logging, and Cons	16,000	15,600	-3%	-400	318
Baton Rouge, LA	Construction	51,800	50,300	-3%	-1,500	318
Calvert-Charles-Prince George's, MD	Mining, Logging, and Cons	36,400	35,400	-3%	-1,000	318
Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	76,700	74,300	-3%	-2,400	318
St. Cloud, MN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	6,400	6,200	-3%	-200	318
Las Cruces, NM	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,800	3,700	-3%	-100	318
Utica-Rome, NY	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,100	3,000	-3%	-100	318
Laredo, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	4,000	3,900	-3%	-100	318
Dover, DE	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,600	2,500	-4%	-100	329
Muskegon, MI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,300	2,200	-4%	-100	329
Saginaw, MI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,800	2,700	-4%	-100	329
Camden, NJ Metropolitan Division	Mining, Logging, and Cons	22,800	22,000	-4%	-800	329
Newark, NJ-PA Metropolitan Division	Mining, Logging, and Cons	46,000	44,000	-4%	-2,000	329
Grand Forks, ND-MN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,700	2,600	-4%	-100	329
Toledo, OH	Mining, Logging, and Cons	14,500	13,900	-4%	-600	329
Victoria, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	4,500	4,300	-4%	-200	329
Casper, WY	Construction	2,700	2,600	-4%	-100	329
Pocatello, ID	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,900	1,800	-5%	-100	338
Portland-South Portland, ME NECTA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	9,500	9,000	-5%	-500	338
Rochester, MN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	4,400	4,200	-5%	-200	338
St. Louis, MO-IL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	65,900	62,800	-5%	-3,100	338
Montgomery County-Bucks County-Chester County, PA Metr	Mining, Logging, and Cons	52,800	50,000	-5%	-2,800	338
Nashville-Davidson--Murfreesboro--Franklin, TN	Mining, Logging, and Cons	42,600	40,500	-5%	-2,100	338
Ogden-Clearfield, UT	Mining, Logging, and Cons	18,400	17,400	-5%	-1,000	338
Middlesex-Monmouth-Ocean, NJ	Mining, Logging, and Cons	38,900	36,000	-7%	-2,900	345
Kingsport-Bristol-Bristol, TN-VA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	7,600	7,100	-7%	-500	345
Wichita Falls, TX	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,900	2,700	-7%	-200	345
Spokane-Spokane Valley, WA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	12,900	12,000	-7%	-900	345
Fairbanks, AK	Construction	2,500	2,300	-8%	-200	349
Springfield, OH	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,200	1,100	-8%	-100	349
Monroe, MI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,200	2,000	-9%	-200	351
Bloomsburg-Berwick, PA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,100	1,000	-9%	-100	351
Hanford-Corcoran, CA	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,000	900	-10%	-100	353
Columbia, SC	Mining, Logging, and Cons	20,600	18,400	-11%	-2,200	354
Battle Creek, MI	Mining, Logging, and Cons	1,700	1,500	-12%	-200	355
Auburn-Opelika, AL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	2,800	2,400	-14%	-400	356
Bismarck, ND	Mining, Logging, and Cons	5,400	4,600	-15%	-800	357
Bloomington, IL	Mining, Logging, and Cons	3,200	2,700	-16%	-500	358

Footnotes:

¹**Area:** Metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) are designated by the Office of Management and Budget. MSAs generally cover one or more entire counties or county equivalents or New England City and Town Area (NECTA) in the six New England states. Areas that cover parts of multiple states are listed in Roman font under the state in which the largest part of its population lives and also *in italic font at the bottom of the listings for other states covered by the MSA or division*. There are 11 MSAs with more than 2,500,000 people. Employment in these MSAs is presented in two or more divisions (Div.) or subdivisions rather than for the MSA as a whole. See U.S. Census Bureau for definitions of MSAs and divisions.

Rankings of Metropolitan Areas by Percentage Change in Construction Employment, March 2017–March 2018
(not seasonally adjusted)

<u>Area</u> ¹	<u>Industry</u> ²	<u>Apr '17</u>	<u>Apr '18</u>	<u>12-mo. % change</u>	<u>12-mo. gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank</u> ³
--------------------------	------------------------------	----------------	----------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------

²**Industry:** The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) reports employment for construction, mining and logging combined for six states, the District of Columbia and MSAs in which mining and logging have only a small number of employers. To facilitate comparisons between MSAs and the states they are in, AGC has calculated combined employment change in states for which BLS reports the industries separately. Because MSA data is not seasonally adjusted, state data is also shown on this basis.

³**Rank:** BLS currently provides construction (or combined construction, mining and logging) employment data for 358 metro areas. AGC calculated rankings based on the 12-month percent change, rounded to the nearest whole percent. Because BLS reports employment rounded to the nearest 100, it is not possible to calculate percent changes more accurately than to the nearest whole percent. Thus, all metro areas with the same whole-percent change in employment are ranked the same.