

**Largest Changes in Construction Employment by Metropolitan Area or Division, April 2023–April 2024**  
 (not seasonally adjusted)

Total 358 Areas: 218 (61%) with gains, 100 (28%) with losses, 40 unchanged. US total construction employment change: +3.2% (seasonally adjusted).

**Most Jobs Added**

<u>Area<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Industry<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>April '23</u>	<u>April '24</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>Rank<sup>3</sup> based on: gain/loss % change</u>
1 Baton Rouge, LA	Construction	47,200	54,800	7,600	16%	1 5
2 Las Vegas-Henderson-Paradise, NV	Construction	81,000	87,500	6,500	8%	2 32
3 Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell, GA	Construction	142,200	147,900	5,700	4%	3 102
4 Fort Worth-Arlington, TX Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	81,000	86,100	5,100	6%	4 66
5 Detroit-Dearborn-Livonia, MI Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	24,400	29,300	4,900	20%	5 2
5 Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land, TX	Construction	232,400	237,300	4,900	2%	5 163
7 Miami-Miami Beach-Kendall, FL Div.	Construction	56,100	60,500	4,400	8%	7 32
8 Dallas-Plano-Irving, TX Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	161,500	165,600	4,100	3%	8 127
9 Warren-Troy-Farmington Hills, MI Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	57,700	61,600	3,900	7%	9 49
9 St. Louis, MO-IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	75,500	79,400	3,900	5%	9 83

**Most Jobs Lost**

<u>Area<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Industry<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>April '23</u>	<u>April '24</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>Rank<sup>3</sup> based on: gain/loss % change</u>
1 Denver-Aurora-Lakewood, CO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	112,300	106,600	-5,700	-5%	358 335
2 New York City, NY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	141,800	136,400	-5,400	-4%	357 319
3 Chicago-Naperville-Arlington Heights, IL Div.	Construction	135,300	131,400	-3,900	-3%	356 300
4 Baltimore-Columbia-Towson, MD	Mining, Logging, and Construction	79,700	75,900	-3,800	-5%	354 335
4 Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	84,200	80,400	-3,800	-5%	354 335
6 Augusta-Richmond County, GA-SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	16,200	14,200	-2,000	-12%	353 358
7 Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	50,900	49,000	-1,900	-4%	351 319
7 San Francisco-Redwood City-South San Francisco, CA Div.	Construction	40,800	38,900	-1,900	-5%	351 335
9 Silver Spring-Frederick-Rockville, MD Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	32,300	30,400	-1,900	-6%	350 346
10 Oakland-Hayward-Berkeley, CA Div.	Construction	74,900	73,100	-1,800	-2%	348 283
10 San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara, CA	Construction	53,200	51,400	-1,800	-3%	348 300

**Largest Percentage Gains**

<u>Area<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Industry<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>April '23</u>	<u>April '24</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>Rank<sup>3</sup> based on: gain/loss % change</u>
1 Fairbanks, AK	Construction	2,200	2,900	700	32%	60 1
2 Anchorage, AK	Construction	9,700	11,600	1,900	20%	30 2
2 Detroit-Dearborn-Livonia, MI Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	24,400	29,300	4,900	20%	5 2
2 Lawton, OK	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,500	1,800	300	20%	103 2
5 Baton Rouge, LA	Construction	47,200	54,800	7,600	16%	1 5
6 Saginaw, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,300	3,800	500	15%	77 6
7 Urban Honolulu, HI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	27,200	30,900	3,700	14%	11 7
7 Elmira, NY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,400	1,600	200	14%	128 7
7 Logan, UT-ID	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,600	4,100	500	14%	77 7
10 Lewiston, ID-WA	Construction	1,600	1,800	200	13%	128 10
10 Tulsa, OK	Construction	25,300	28,700	3,400	13%	15 10
10 Sioux Falls, SD	Mining, Logging, and Construction	10,900	12,300	1,400	13%	41 10

**Largest Percentage Losses**

<u>Area<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Industry<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>April '23</u>	<u>April '24</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>Rank<sup>3</sup> based on: gain/loss % change</u>
1 Augusta-Richmond County, GA-SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	16,200	14,200	-2,000	-12%	353 358
2 Columbus, IN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,900	1,700	-200	-11%	293 357
3 Bellingham, WA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	9,100	8,200	-900	-10%	338 356
4 Decatur, IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,400	3,100	-300	-9%	314 355
5 Kankakee, IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,400	1,300	-100	-7%	259 352
5 Duluth, MN-WI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	9,400	8,700	-700	-7%	331 352
5 Longview, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	13,800	12,800	-1,000	-7%	340 352
8 Grand Junction, CO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	6,500	6,100	-400	-6%	321 346
8 Silver Spring-Frederick-Rockville, MD Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	32,300	30,400	-1,900	-6%	350 346
8 Canton-Massillon, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,900	8,400	-500	-6%	327 346
8 Weirton-Steubenville, WV-OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,800	1,700	-100	-6%	259 346
8 Gettysburg, PA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,800	1,700	-100	-6%	259 346
8 Johnstown, PA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,800	1,700	-100	-6%	259 346

**Footnotes:**

<sup>1</sup>**Area:** Metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) are designated by the Office of Management and Budget. MSAs generally cover one or more entire counties or county equivalents, or New England City and Town Area (NECTA) in the six New England states. There are 11 MSAs with more than 2,500,000 people. Employment in these MSAs is presented in two or more divisions (Div.) or subdivisions rather than for the MSA as a whole.

<sup>2</sup>**Industry:** The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) only reports construction employment combined with mining and logging in most metros, because of small sample sizes or to preserve confidentiality for industries with few employers. Metro data is not seasonally adjusted.

<sup>3</sup>**Rank:** BLS currently provides construction (or combined construction, mining and logging) employment data for 358 metro areas, rounded to the nearest 100. Thus, it is not possible to calculate percent changes more accurately than to the nearest whole percent and all metro areas with the same whole-percent change in employment are ranked the same. (Exception: Employment changes of less than 0.5% are shown and ranked based on the nearest 0.1%.)